## Message

From: Steven Markowitz [Steven.Markowitz@qc.cuny.edu]

**Sent**: 8/11/2020 5:46:45 PM

To: Wong, Diana [Wong.Diana-M@epa.gov]
CC: Kenneth Portier [kenneth.portier@gmail.com]
Subject: RE: Concurrence Review of Draft Asbestos Report

Attachments: SACC Asbestos Report concurrence 08092020- MARKOWITZ COMMENTS1.pdf

Dear Diana and Ken – Thank you for the opportunity to re-review. I read the entire document again. The various sections are nicely tied together by the "See Recommendation X in Section Y" statements.

I made a few comments on the attached copy of the report.

There is one statement in the Executive Summary that needs some attention (p. 22, line 4-5). It incorrectly summarizes the subsequent Discussion about dermal exposure. The clause about re-entrainment is also vague. In the Discussion, concern is expressed twice about perineal absorption of body powder (secondary to dermal exposure) as a route of exposure (see p. 47 and p. 61). The Executive Summary should reflect the Discussion and say:

"5) Discuss the relationship between dermal exposure and mucous membrane exposure in relation to cancer risk."

My vote is to concur with minor edits.

Thanks

Steven Markowitz MD, DrPH

From: Wong, Diana [mailto:Wong.Diana-M@epa.gov]

Sent: Sunday, August 9, 2020 7:22 PMTo: Wong, Diana < Wong. Diana - M@epa.gov >Cc: Kenneth Portier < kenneth.portier@gmail.com >Subject: Concurrence Review of Draft Asbestos Report

EXTERNAL EMAIL: please report suspicious content to the ITS Help Desk.

Dear TSCA Asbestos Panel Members,

Attached please find the near final draft of the asbestos report.

Please send an email to me and the chair by **Tuesday, 8/11/2020**, and let us know if you: 1) concur; 2)concur with minor edits; or 3) non-concur with this report. Thank you all for your hard work.

Best Regards, Diana

Washington, DC 20460

Diana Wong, Ph. D., DABT
Toxicologist and Designated Federal Officer
USEPA
Science Advisory Board Staff Office
MC: 1400R
1200 Pennsylvania Ave, NW

